Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training
Peace Officer Standards Accountability Division
860 Stillwater Road, Suite 100
West Sacramento, CA 95605
www.post.ca.gov

STATEMENT OF FINDINGS

POST makes the following information available to the public pursuant to Penal Code § 13510.85(c), which establishes that POST shall publish the names of any peace officer whose certification has been suspended or revoked and the basis for the suspension or revocation.

CASE NO.: POST2300864

SUBJECT OFFICER: Victor D. Alonzo **POST ID: B34-X19**

EMPLOYING AGENCY: Fresno County Sheriff's Office

ALLEGED MISCONDUCT: PC § 13510.8(b)(1)/CCR 1205(a)(a) – Dishonesty

CASE SUMMARY:

Respondent served as a deputy sheriff with the Fresno County Sheriff's Office from March 1998 until August 2020.

In May 2020, after Fresno Police Department officers responded to a service call at Respondent's residence, Respondent submitted materially false reports to the police department that he was a victim of a crime. Respondent submitted photographs to the police that purported to substantiate his allegations, however, after being confronted with the evidence, Respondent admitted that the reports were false. The clear and convincing evidence substantiated that Respondent's reports to FPD were materially false. There is a high probability that Respondent was Dishonest related to the reporting, investigation, or prosecution of a crime in violation of California Penal Code Section 13510.8(b)(1) and POST Regulation 1205(a)(1).

Following POST's investigation and review, POST found, by clear and convincing evidence, that reasonable grounds existed for consideration of revocation pursuant to Penal Code 13510.8. The findings in the POST Investigative Summary and Findings report are as follows:

ANALYSIS/FINDINGS:

1. **The alleged misconduct violated Penal Code Section 13510.8 (b)(1) and Title 11, California Code of Regulations Section 1205 (a)(1) – Dishonesty**

California Penal Code Section 13510.8(b)(1) and Commission Regulation 1205(a)(1) define serious misconduct to include "Dishonesty relating to the reporting, investigation, or prosecution of a crime, or relating to the reporting of, or investigation of misconduct by, a peace officer or custodial officer, including, but not limited to, false statements, intentionally filing false reports, tampering with, falsifying, destroying, or concealing evidence, perjury, and tampering with data recorded by a body-worn camera or other recording device for purposes of concealing misconduct. For purposes of this subsection, in considering whether a suspension or revocation of certification is proper, the Commission will consider the extent to which the dishonesty related to a material or significant fact in the context of the statement or omission alleged to be dishonest, and will also consider whether the dishonesty appears to have been done willfully or intentionally, with the intent to deceive."

The allegation that Respondent committed Dishonesty was established through review of the evidence, including metadata embedded in photographs that Respondent sent by email to the police officers, and Respondent's own admissions regarding the truthfulness of the statements in his emails.

OUTCOME/IMPOSITION OF DISCIPLINARY ACTION AGAINST PEACE OFFICER CERTIFICATION:

The Peace Officer Standards Accountability Division determined that reasonable grounds existed to seek revocation of the Respondent's certifications in this case. On July 16, 2025, and September 10, 2025, POST served a Notice of Intent to Revoke Certification. Respondent did not file a request for review within the 30-day response period, as required by California Penal Code section 13510.85(a)(2), thus resulting in a default revocation of Respondent's certification. On October 15, 2025, POST issued an Order of Revocation of Peace Officer Certification of all of the peace officer certifications of Respondent Victor D. Alonzo (POST2300864) (POST ID B34-X19).