DALY CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT MEMORANDUM

TO Acting Chief of Police
Cameron Christensen

FROM Sergeant Matthew Ciucci #68

SUBJECT Administrative Investigation **24-04/Officer Smithmatungol #150**

BACKGROUND

On July 2, 2024, Administrative Directive 5909 was forwarded to me from Acting Chief of Police Christensen, requesting an administrative investigation be conducted on the following incident:

Request for Administrative Investigation:

DALY CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT
Memorandum

June 16, 2024

To: *Chief of Police*

From: *Sgt. Karen Douglas #78*

Subject: *Request for Administrative Investigation – Officer Smithmatungol*

On 06/02/2024 around 1945 hrs., Officer Smithmatungol responded to Target, 133 Serramonte Center, on the report of a detained shoplifter. Officer J. Rodriguez was his cover officer, and assisted in providing translation for the shoplifter, who was Spanish-speaking only. Officer Smithmatungol eventually arrested the subject and issued her a citation. The incident was documented under DCPD report 24003452. Later in the evening, I reviewed the report with the intention of approving it. The report had several errors; it was rejected and sent back to Officer Smithmatungol to be corrected. The main issue was that it did not document how or if the suspect, who had stolen over $600 in merchandise, was identified. Under the persons tabs in RIMS, the suspect had no prior contacts with DCPD. Her address was a North Carolina address. There was no phone number or driver's license number. I ran a records check of the suspect, and could not find a match on her name.

Later in the evening, Officer Smithmatungol approached me to discuss the kickback, without me asking him to. He told me he had identified the suspect from a bank credit card she had in her

possession. I explained to him that this was insufficient for identifying someone, as the card could have belonged to someone else, and we would have no way of knowing. I asked why he had not booked her, so she could be fingerprinted and properly identified. He said she was in the late stages of pregnancy and he did not want to have to take her to Chope for a medical clearance prior to being booked into jail. I asked if she had any local address or if she had provided a phone number. He said the suspect told him she was staying at a homeless shelter, but that she did not know the address, and that he had not obtained a phone number from her. I explained that if the suspect failed to show up to court, there would be no way of tracking her, and the charges would likely get dropped.

In briefings and casual conversations since the beginning of the watch, Lt. Klier, Sgt. Tualaulelei and I have stressed the importance of proper identification of suspects, and reiterated that if someone cannot be positively identified, they should be booked into San Mateo County Jail. Officer Smithmatungol was present for at least one of these conversations. I asked if he recalled these conversations. He told me he did not and was under the impression suspects could be issued citations without being positively identified. He then spontaneously stated he had obtained her fingerprint when issuing her the citation. This gave me hope that it could help with her identification, and I responded, "Oh great, you did?" He then said something along the lines of "Yeah, I got her thumbprint on the citation." I told him that was good, and that hopefully her print could identify her, if need be. We then wrapped up the conversation, and Officer Smithmatungol said he was sorry for not properly identifying her, and that he would handle situations like this better in the future. I told him that it was ok, and to just not let it happen again.

I had not previously seen what a thumbprint looked like on the new digital citation writers, so after Officer Smithmatungol left, I logged on to the Turbo Data website to see how it appeared with the new formatted digital tickets. I viewed his citation (#180035), and looked under the public copy and officer copy, but did not see a thumbprint. I was unfamiliar with how a thumbprint would be obtained on the writer, and also wanted to verify that what Officer Smithmatungol told me was accurate, so I logged on to evidence.com and reviewed his and Officer Rodriguez's BWC.

The BWC showed that Officer Smithmatungol used his citation writer (ID DCTR61) to begin the citation. He handed it to Officer Rodriguez, who began to assist with inputting the information. As this was happening, they were alerted to another shoplifter inside Target. They left and dealt with that subject (who was issued a citation, documented in case 24003453); after that subject was cited, Officer Smithmatungol returned to the suspect and finished issuing her a citation. At no point did he obtain her thumbprint on the citation, or via any other means. I learned that only certain writers have the ability to obtain a thumbprint and saw that this writer was not one of them. I reviewed all of the BWC and found no evidence that he or anyone else obtained the suspect's fingerprints, as Officer Smithmatungol had claimed.

On Saturday, 06/08/2024, Sgt. Tualaulelei and I met with Officer Smithmatungol. The purpose of the meeting was to discuss unrelated performance issues, including lack of self-initiated pro-activity, and to seek clarification on the location of the citation. I began the meeting by mentioning the case, and asked if he remembered it. He said he did. I told him it appeared that

he had not obtained the suspect's thumbprint. Officer Smithmatungol said he had actually obtained it. He explained he had attempted to use his citation writer to obtain the print, but that it was not working. I told Officer Smithmatungol we had reviewed the BWC, which showed he was using a citation writer without the fingerprint option. He responded something to the effect of, "Oh, I must have forgot." He continued explaining how he had obtained it.

At the conclusion of the detention, he said he walked with the suspect to his patrol vehicle, where he printed a duplicate copy of the citation. He retrieved an ink pad and fingerprinted the suspect. He had her place her thumb on the back of the citation, and that was how he obtained her fingerprint. Officer Smithmatungol said he turned the hard copy citation in at the end of his shift by placing it on the sergeant's desk. Sgt. Tualaulelei had collected the paperwork at the end of the shift and said he did not recall seeing it. I had Officer Smithmatungol's report open on the computer while we were speaking with him. I reviewed the attachments to the case, but did not see it, which I shared with Officer Smithmatungol. He offered that it might still be in his duty bag, or might have been given to Officer Rodriguez, who was acting as his cover officer. We asked Officer Smithmatungol if he was sure he obtained the thumbprint. Without missing a beat he nodded his head and said "Yes."

Officer Smithmatungol then asked what would happen if he couldn't find the citation. Sgt. Tualaulelei said something to the effect of "I'm not calling you out, but I am calling you out. Are you sure you got the thumbprint?" Officer Smithmatungol again reiterated that he had obtained it, and again said the copy might be in his duty bag. Sgt. Tualaulelei said if he hadn't actually obtained it, now was the time to own up to it.

Officer Smithmatungol again said he had obtained it and would check his duty bag. I asked him to go check his duty bag now, and to let us know whether he had found it. About twenty minutes later, I saw Officer Smithmatungol in the in-coming squad room typing on the computer, and I asked if he had any luck locating it.

He had several printed out tickets in front of him, and picked them up to show them to me. He said he had located all of them in his duty bag, but was unable to locate the citation in question. He said he had texted Officer Rodriguez to ask if he had the citation. I asked Officer Smithmatungol to let me know if Officer Rodriguez located it, and he said he would. For the remainder of the shift, Officer Smithmatungol did not bring up the citation again, and neither Sgt. Tualaulelei nor I asked him about it any further.

At this point, I suspected Officer Smithmatungol was not being honest. On Sunday, 06/09/2024, I responded to Target and obtained additional security footage of Officer Smithmatungol's time at the scene. I reviewed several different camera angles, from the point of when Officer Smithmatungol arrived at Target, to the point he left and drove out of the mall onto Serramonte Blvd. I saw that once the suspect had been released from the loss prevention office at 2007 hrs., she immediately exited Target through the southern most front door, and walked east through the parking lot, out of view of the camera. She is not seen again.

Officer Smithmatungol briefly left the loss prevention office, and walked into Target, in the opposite direction of the suspect. He returned to the office about 25 seconds later, and remained

there for several minutes, seemingly finishing his investigation with one of the employees. Around 2011 hrs., Officer R. Perez arrived on scene, and walked into the loss prevention office, where Officer Smithmatungol still was. Shortly after, he exited out the south doors, and walked over to Officer Rodriguez, who was still with the suspect from case 24003453. Officer Perez joins them a short time later, and they finish their dealings with the suspect from case 24003453. At 2021 hrs., Officer Smithmatungol walks to his patrol vehicle, gets in and drives south through the Target parking lot. He makes a right turn at the stop sign and drives west along the south side of Target. At the first stop sign, he makes a left turn and exits on to Serramonte Blvd., driving west towards Callan Blvd. I transferred the files to a thumb drive.

Based on the above information, I am requesting an Administrative Investigation into Officer Smithmatungol, for violation of Lexipol Policy 340 (Standards of Conduct) 340.5.9, Performance; and 340.5.10, Conduct.

Sgt. Karen Douglas, #78
Division B-2, Graveyard
Field Operations Bureau

INVESTIGATION

- Reviewed request for an administrative investigation.
- Reviewed DCPD Administrative Directive 5909.
- Reviewed Daly City Police Department case #24003452.
- Reviewed Body Worn Camera footage associated to DCPD Case #24003452.
- Reviewed CAD Log associated to DCPD Case #24003452.
- Reviewed Target Store video surveillance footage.
- Reviewed a copy of the citation associated to DCPD case #24003452.
- Interviewed Turbo-Data expert witness Trent Waterman.
- Interviewed Turbo-Data Technical Support Agent James Pace
- Interviewed Sergeant Douglas (Witness).
- Interviewed Officer J. Rodriguez (Witness).
- Interviewed Officer Smithmatungol (Subject).

INVESTIGATION NARRATIVE:

I began my investigation by conducting a review of Daly City Police Department report #24003452. The following is a synopsis of the case:

CAD Call Review DP24-25327

On June 02, 2024, at approximately 1928 hours, a "Shoplifter Detained" call was generated at the Target Store located at 133 Serramonte Center, Daly City. The initial remarks added by the call taker were as follows:

I then spoke to [redacted] and read her her rights via my department-issued Miranda Warning Card, which Officer Rodriguez translated into Spanish, and she told me the following:

Statement of [redacted] summarized, audio/video recorded (BWC):

[redacted] stated she came into the store, selected merchandise, and attempted to walk out without paying. [redacted] did not have any cash in her property and stated there was no money on her bank cards. [redacted] did not come into the store to steal all of the merchandise. [redacted] planned to pay for only a handful of items and not scan the rest only to obtain a receipt to make it appear as if she purchased all of the items.

End of Statement

I provided [redacted] with a contact card and case number for his records. Additionally, I sent [redacted] an evidence.com link so he could upload the surveillance footage. I documented [redacted] answers to the Video Surveillance Questions and Business Foundation questions in a supplemental report. [redacted] signed a Daly City citizen's arrest form, which was later uploaded as an attachment.

I provided [redacted] with DCPD Citation #180035 for PC 459.5 and advised her of the court date and time. [redacted] was later released from the scene.

This case is closed."

BWC FOOTAGE REVIEW

I conducted a review of all Body Worn Camera footage from the arrest of [redacted] The BWC review will be written in the present tense as it memorializes the present actions of those involved.

AXON BODY 3 Video 2024-06-02 X60A078X DC24003452
BWC of Officer Smithmatungol

Officer Smithmatungol arrives at 133 Serramonte Center and parks his Ford Explorer SUV in the loading zone on the east side of the Target store, facing north. He exits his SUV and contacts Target Loss Prevention Agent [redacted] in front of the store. [redacted] greets Officer Smithmatungol and proceeds to give him a verbal overview [redacted] arrest. In summary, [redacted] stole approximately $600.00 worth of merchandise and left the store without attempting to pay. [redacted] is also potentially pregnant; however, Officer Smithmatungol indicates it is not an issue because she will be cited-out for the violation. Officer Rodriguez arrives on-scene and parks his Ford Taurus sedan on the curbline in front of the Target store, facing south. Officer Smithmatungol asks Officer Rodriguez if he will translate during the investigation, and he agrees.

[redacted] leads Officer Smithmatungol and Officer Rodriguez into the Target loss prevention office. Inside the room there are four other loss prevention agents and [redacted] who is sitting on a bench with her hands un-handcuffed. Officer Smithmatungol approaches a shopping cart and appears to photograph the contents inside. These items are presumably the stolen merchandise recovered during [redacted] arrest. Officer Rodriguez asks [redacted] the following:

Officer Rodriguez: Tienes ID? (have ID?)

[redacted] No

Officer Smithmatungol: Pasaporte, licencia? (passport or license?)

[redacted] No

Officer Rodriguez begins to obtain [redacted] verbal identification. Loss prevention agents explain they didn't find any identification on her person but she did have a credit/debit card in her possession with a name on it. Officer Smithmatungol then leaves the loss prevention office and walks to his car to retrieve a citizen's arrest form. Officer Smithmatungol walks back into the loss prevention office and begins speaking with Officer Rodriguez. Their conversation is as follows:

Officer Rodriguez: "Is it a felony?"

Officer Smithmatungol: "No, six hundred bucks….$607.00. Does she have no ID whatsoever?"

Officer Rodriguez: Shakes his head in the negative.

Officer Smithmatungol: "I'm gonna book her then."

Officer Rodriguez: "She's from North Carolina."

Officer Smithmatungol: "I'm gonna book her then."

Officer Rodriguez attempts to identify [redacted] by asking her a series of questions. Officer Smithmatungol begins uploading digital evidence to evidence.com on his work cell phone. Officer Smithmatungol overhears loss prevention agents indicate there is potentially

another subject shoplifting in the store. Officer Smithmatungol exhibits signs of frustration and verbal disbelief. He asks Officer Rodriguez if he will read [redacted] her rights via Miranda and interpret the interview. Officer Rodriguez agrees and proceeds to act as an interpreter during the interview. [redacted] waives her rights and provides a statement regarding the theft. She indicates her intent was to pay for some items but not all. She also does not possess enough money to cover the costs of the items she stole.

Officer Smithmatungol concludes the interview and produces Daly City Police Department digital ticket-writer DCTR61. The label with the identifying number can be clearly seen on the front of the device. Officer Smithmatungol hands the ticket-writer to Officer Rodriguez and asks him if he could fill in [redacted] personal information on the citation. Officer Smithmatungol then tells Officer Rodriguez **"we're short.....I'm just gonna cite her."** Officer Rodriguez begins to fill out the citation and a loss prevention agent approaches Officer Smithmatungol and asks if he was able to positively identify the suspect and he replies **"no."**

Both officers then turn their attention to a black male adult who is attempting to shoplift while they are still on-scene. Both officers leave the loss prevention office and confront the male subject, preventing him from leaving the building and preventing him from completing the theft. [redacted] remains in the loss prevention office. The male subject is escorted to the front of the building and Officer Rodriguez stands by with him, while Officer Smithmatungol re-enters the loss prevention office to complete the investigation concerning [redacted]

Officer Smithmatungol begins imputing information into ticket-writer DCTR61 and asks [redacted] two more times if she has a license, and she responds no. Officer Smithmatungol finishes filling out the citation and presents the ticket-writer to [redacted] who provides her digital signature. At approximately 20:05:08 hours, Officer Smithmatungol presses print on his ticket-writer device and a citation is printed. He then briefly explains the court time and location to [redacted] Officer Smithmatungol leaves the loss prevention office and [redacted] is presumably free to leave. Officer Smithmatungol goes back outside and meets with Officer Rodriguez.

At approximately 20:06:15 hours, Officer Smithmatungol places his ticket-writer device on a large red cement parking bollard in front of the Target store (he leaves the device on the parking bollard until approximately 20:15:22 hours). Officer Smithmatungol tells Officer Rodriguez he will handle the arrest for the second shoplifter, and he proceeds to go back into the loss prevention office. At approximately 20:07:32 hours, [redacted] can be seen walking out the front doors of the Target store.

Officer Smithmatungol meets with loss prevention agents and begins to start his second investigation regarding the second shoplifter. At approximately 20:08:51 hours, Officer Smithmatungol turns off his BWC while he is still speaking with loss prevention staff in their office. He then immediately re-activates it at approximately 20:08:52 hours (1 second elapsed

time). It appears that this is done to separate his BWC footage during the two separate investigations. The following BWC is the continuation of his video footage.

AXON BODY 3 Video 2024-06-02 X60A078X DC24003453
BWC of Officer Smithmatungol

Officer Smithmatungol speaks with a loss prevention agent and gains the information he needs for his investigation. He then realizes that he will be unable to arrest the male subject because he ordered him to step out the store. He ultimately places the male subject under arrest after the subject admits to being in possession of narcotic paraphernalia. Officer Rayleen Perez arrives on scene and assists with the investigation by filling out a citation for the suspect. At approximately 20:19:36 hours, Officer Perez hands the ticket-writer device to Officer Smithmatungol. In the BWC footage, the device serial number can be seen as DCTR61. This is the same ticket-writer device used previously by Officer Smithmatungol. At approximately 20:19:59 hours, Officer Smithmatungol prints a new citation for his new arrestee and provides it to him. At approximately 20:21:20 hours, Officer Smithmatungol turns off his BWC and the call is complete.

AXON BODY 3 Video 2024-06-02 X60A19100 DC24003452
BWC of Officer Rodriguez

Officer Rodriguez arrives at 133 Serramonte Center and meets Officer Smithmatungol in front of the store. Together they walk into the Target loss prevention office and Officer Rodriguez begins speaking with [redacted] who is seated on a bench. He asks her for identification, and she pulls a red credit/debit card out of her purse and hands it to Officer Rodriguez.

Officer Rodriguez begins to obtain her name verbally and she provides [redacted] When he asks where she lives, she points outside and says "in Daly Ci……" she then stops herself mid syllable and says she is from North Carolina. She eventually provides an address of [redacted].

Officer Rodriguez ultimately leaves the loss prevention office and assists Officer Smithmatungol by contacting the second, unassociated male adult shoplifter. Officer Rodriguez stands-by with the male subject in front of the Target store. At approximately 20:07:38 hours, [redacted] can be seen exiting the target store and walking in a eastern direction across the Target parking lot. The remainder of the BWC footage coincides with the video memorialized on Officer Smithmatungol's BWC. At approximately 20:21:19 hours, Officer Rodriguez turns off his BWC and the call is complete.

AXON BODY 3 Video 2024-06-02 X60A0853X DC24003453
BWC of Officer R. Perez

Officer Perez arrives at 133 Serramonte Center and meets Officers Smithmatungol and Rodriguez in front of the Target store. Officer Smithmatungol is conducting a search of the black male shoplifting suspect. Officer Smithmatungol's ticket-writer device can be seen resting on a large red cement parking bollard in front of the store. At approximately 20:15:22 hours, Officer Perez walks over to the parking bollard and picks up the ticket-writer. She does not print any citations, but rather she starts entering in the new information for the second arrestee's citation.

At approximately 20:19:36 hours, Officer Perez hands the ticket-writer device to Officer Smithmatungol. The device has been in camera view since the time she picked it up and she never printed a paper citation from it. Officer Smithmatungol appears to review the ticket-writer information. He then walks over to the suspect and has him digitally sign the device. At approximately 20:20:15 hours, Officer Smithmatungol prints a citation and hands it to the male suspect. At approximately 20:20:55 hours, Officer Perez turns off her BWC and the call is complete.

End of All BWC Review

TARGET SURVEILENCE FOOTAGE REVIEW

In Sergeant Douglas' initial memorandum requesting an internal affairs investigation she referenced she had obtained video surveillance footage from the Target store CCTV cameras. I contacted Sergeant Douglas and obtained five videos from the Target store surveillance cameras which she stated she obtained on June 9, 2024. The following is a review of each video.

Video titled "AP – Booking Room 2"

This video is captured from a ceiling camera located in the Target loss prevention office. It memorializes the entire contact between [redacted] and Officers Rodriguez and Smithmatungol. The surveillance video coincides with the BWC footage I reviewed above. At no time in the video does Officer Rodriguez or Smithmatungol search [redacted] or obtain her fingerprint. I also do not observe Officer Smithmatungol print a second copy of his arrest citation.

Video titled "Entrance – Vestibule"

This video is captured from a ceiling camera located outside of the Target loss prevention office, near the main entrance of the store. It captures any person entering or leaving the loss prevention room. It captures the entire contact between [redacted] and Officers Rodriguez and Smithmatungol. At no time in the video does either officer search [redacted] or obtain her fingerprint. I also do not observe Officer Smithmatungol print a second copy of his arrest citation.

Video titled "Exterior PL Front 1"

This video is captured from an elevated exterior camera located on the outside of the Target store. The camera faces eastward and captures the main entrance and main parking lot. At approximately 19:50:45 hours, Officer Smithmatungol arrives on-scene and parks his fully marked patrol SUV directly in camera view facing north. At approximately 19:51:46 hours Officer Rodriguez arrives on-scene and parks his fully marked patrol sedan directly in camera view facing south. Both officers then enter the Target store.

At approximately 20:07:38 hours, [redacted] can be seen exiting the front doors of the target store, walking in an eastern direction. She continues walking east until she leaves camera view at approximately 20:08:51 hours. Due to the quality of the video (severe pixilation) she can no longer be seen on camera.

At approximately 20:21:43 hours, Officer Rodriguez enters his patrol sedan and leaves the scene. He travels southbound in front of the main entrance of the Target store and makes a left turn traveling eastbound through the parking lot. He then leaves the view of the camera.

At approximately 20:21:48 hours, Officer Smithmatungol enters his patrol vehicle and leaves the scene. He makes a u-turn and travels southbound in front of the main entrance of the Target store and makes a right turn traveling westbound along the south side of the Target store. He is traveling the opposite direction of where [redacted] was last seen walking. He then leaves the view of the camera.

Officer Smithmatungol and [redacted] never have contact once she exits the Target store.

Video titled "Exterior PL Rear 1"

This video is captured from an elevated exterior camera located on the outside of the Target store. The camera is affixed to the south side of the building and faces eastward. It captures the south parking lot and intersection that leads to the Serramonte Blvd. exit. At approximately 20:22:48 hours, Officer Smithmatungol's SUV can be seen making a right turn, traveling westbound along the south side of the Target store. He has cleared his call for service and appears to exit Serramonte Mall by driving southbound onto Serramonte Blvd. He does not stop his vehicle or contact any persons prior to going out of view of the camera.

Video titled "Exterior PL Right 2"

This video is captured from an elevated exterior camera located on the outside of the Target store. The camera is affixed to the south side of the building and faces south. It captures the south parking lot and intersection of Serramonte Blvd. and the Serramonte mall exit. At approximately 20:22:58 hours, Officer Smithmatungol's SUV can be seen making a left turn traveling south as he departs the Serramonte shopping center. He then makes a right turn onto Serramonte Blvd. traveling westbound towards Callan Blvd. He does not stop his vehicle or contact any persons prior to going out of view of the camera.

A review of the CAD log for Officer Smithmatungol shows that upon leaving the Serramonte Mall at approximately 20:22:58 hours, he was immediately dispatched to the following calls:

- 20:26:54 hours: Dispatched to a Neighbor Dispute on Wembley Drive. (DP24-25334)
  - 20:32:29 hours: Arrives onscene.
  - 20:55:14 hours: Cleared the call and goes 10-8.

- 21:01:26 hours: Conducts a traffic stop at Sullivan Ave/Washington St. (DP24-25344)
  - 21:06:36 hours: Cleared the call (verbal warning) and goes 10-8.

- 21:06:50 hours: Dispatched to an Assault investigation on Sylvan Street. (DP24-25342)
  - 21:10:04 hours: Arrives onscene.
  - 21:12:55 hours: Cleared from the call and goes 10-8.

- 21:12:55 hours: Dispatched to a DV investigation on Mission Cir. (DP24-25343)
  - 21:13:17 hours: Cleared from the call and goes 10-8.

- 21:17:08 hours: Dispatched to a 5150 W&I call on Westmoor Ave. (DP24-25345)
  - 21:22:10 hours: Arrives onscene.
  - 21:56:34 hours: Cleared from the call and goes 10-8.

It appears Officer Smithmatungol traveled "call-to-call" from the time he left the Serramonte Mall until approximately 21:56:34 hours.

Upon completing my review of the Body Worn Camera footage and the Target video surveillance footage, I made the following observations. I identified several conflicting pieces of evidence which contradicted the events initially verbalized by Officer Smithmatungol to Sergeant Douglas.

- [redacted] was never properly identified or searched incident to her arrest.
- I only observed one paper citation be printed from ticket-writer device DCTR61, and this citation was handed to [redacted]
- A fingerprint from [redacted] was never obtained during her contact with Daly City Police Officers.
- Officer Smithmatungol initially stated he was going to "book" [redacted] but later changed his mind stating "we're short I'm just going to cite her out." At no time did I observe him consult with a supervisor prior to making this decision.

Because [redacted] had never been properly identified, this case could not move forward with prosecution. In an effort to contact [redacted] I began a thorough search of all available Law Enforcement databases to hopefully identify her.

[redacted] had initially told Officer Rodriguez she was from North Carolina but the address she provided was in [redacted]. I conducted a search of Google Earth and learned [redacted] is a 233,783 square foot shopping center, consisting of dozens of large and medium size businesses. This is not a residential address, and I could not locate a [redacted] associated to the location. I checked local booking photos, arrest records and "Photo ID Match" for persons matching the description of [redacted] however, I was unable to find a match. To date, I have been unable to identify or locate [redacted]

After viewing Officer Smithmatungol's BWC and hearing him say he was going to cite-out [redacted] because his team was short staffed; I conducted a search of PlanIt Scheduling software to see what the staffing levels were for June 02, 2024. B-Graveyard Shift had 7 Officers and 2 Sergeants working that evening. A copy of the staffing report has been attached to this case file.