STATE OF CALIFORNIA
COMMISSION ON PEACE OFFICER STANDARDS AND TRAINING
PEACE OFFICER STANDARDS ACCOUNTABILITY ADVISORY BOARD

In Re: CERTIFICATION OF Luke Walden, **Respondent.**	**BOARD RECOMMENDATION RE: PEACE OFFICER CERTIFICATION** Penal Code section 13510.85(a)(4)

Penal Code section 13510.85 provides that when, upon the completion of an investigation conducted pursuant to subdivision (a) of section 13510.85, the Peace Officer Standards Accountability Division (the Division) finds reasonable grounds for revocation or suspension of a peace officer's certification, it shall notify the peace officer of the Division's determination and reasons for that determination. If the peace officer files a timely review, the Peace Officer Standards Accountability Advisory Board (Board) shall schedule the case for hearing.

Respondent was served with the Division's Investigative Summary and Findings and accompanying Notice of Intent to Revoke, notifying Respondent that the Division had found reasonable grounds for revocation of Respondent's POST certification, on May 14, 2025. Respondent requested a review of the Division's determination and reasons for revocation of Respondent's POST certification on May 21, 2025, and the Board scheduled the case for a hearing, which was held on July 16, 2025. At this hearing, the Board recommended that Respondent's certification be revoked. Under Penal Code section 13510.85(a)(5), the Commission shall review all recommendations made by the Board. Accordingly, on September 10, 2025, the Commission held a public meeting to consider the Board's recommendation, where the Commission directed the Division to conduct further investigation and return this matter to the Board for further hearing. The Board scheduled this case for a further hearing, which was held on November 6, 2025.

At the time of Respondent's request for review of the Division's determination, Respondent had been issued the following POST certification(s):

☒ Basic

☒ Intermediate

☒ Advanced

☒ Supervisory

☐ Management

☐ Executive

FACTUAL BASIS

Having considered the Division's presentation at the hearing, the Division's Investigative Summary and Findings and the public comments, the Board concludes that:

X A factual basis for revocation has been established by clear and convincing evidence.

__ A factual basis for suspension has been established by clear and convincing evidence.

__ A factual basis for suspension or revocation has not been established by clear and convincing evidence.

RECOMMENDATION

In light of the facts and circumstances revealed by the Division's investigation in this case, the Board hereby recommends that the following action(s) be taken against Respondent's certification:

X Revocation of Certification

__ Suspension of Certification for the following period of time, not to exceed 3 years, per 11 CCR section 1213(b): _____.

__ No further action.

REASONS FOR DECISION

X In accordance with Penal Code section 13510.85(a)(4) and title 11, California Code of Regulations section 1213, the Board hereby incorporates by reference the Division's Investigative Summary and Findings and hereby adopts the Division's Summary and Findings as the Board's stated reasons for its recommendation in the above captioned matter.

___ (Where applicable) In accordance with Penal Code section 13510.85(a)(4), the Board's reasons for its recommendation are as follows:

Dated: 11/6/2025

Chairperson or Designee
Peace Officer Standards Accountability
Advisory Board