

INVESTIGATIVE SUMMARY AND FINDINGS

PEACE OFFICER NAME: Armando AVENA

POST ID NUMBER: C63-K48

EMPLOYING AGENCY: Los Angeles Police Department (LAPD)

POST CASE NUMBER: POST2302397

WRITTEN BY: LEC Dave Sieber

DATE: 3/17/2026

Contents

I. Executive Summary and Findings 3

II. Investigative Methodology 4

III. Respondent's Background 4

A. Respondent's Peace Officer Certificates and Employment 4

B. Respondent's Service Record 5

IV. Factual Background 6

V. Analysis and Findings 12

A. Does the alleged conduct violate Commission Regulation 1205(a)(6)? 12

B. Summary of Findings 12

VI. Supporting Evidence 14

I. Executive Summary and Findings

On February 12, 2022, while off-duty, Los Angeles Police Department (LAPD) Officer Armando AVENA (hereinafter, "Respondent"), and Victim, went out for drinks in Whittier, California. As the night progressed into the early hours of February 13, 2022, Respondent and Victim left a brewery and went to a lounge. While at the lounge, they became involved in a verbal argument when Respondent noticed Victim talking to another man. The couple subsequently agreed to leave the lounge together. Despite having consumed alcohol throughout the evening, including shots of a clear liquor immediately before departing, Respondent drove himself and Victim to their home.

Upon arriving, Respondent gained access to Victim's cell phone and the verbal argument escalated over claims of infidelity. Respondent pulled Victim's hair, dragged her into a bathroom and forced her into a bathtub while showering her with cold water. Respondent refused to let Victim out of the bathtub and punched her in the face, scratched, slapped and choked her with both hands, causing a concussion, visible bruising to her face, chipped front teeth, a split lip, a sore neck and back, and scratches to her arms.

Later that morning, after Respondent and Victim woke up, Victim asked Respondent to drive her to her mother's residence. Respondent reluctantly complied. Upon observing Victim's injuries, Victim's mother notified the Baldwin Park Police Department (BPPD) and Victim was transported to Kaiser Permanente's Baldwin Park (KPBP) Medical Center where she received medical attention for her injuries. In response, BPPD investigators obtained an Emergency Protective Order (EPO) for Victim and arrested Respondent for a violation of California Penal Code § 273.5(a) - Domestic Violence.

BPPD presented the criminal case to the El Monte branch office of the Los Angeles District Attorney's Office (EMDA) for review. Upon evaluation, EMDA filed one count of California Penal Code § 273.5(a) - Misdemeanor Domestic Violence. In response, LAPD initiated Personnel Complaint Investigation CF No. 22-000472, ultimately sustaining seven allegations of misconduct and directing him to a Board of Rights (BOR) with a recommendation of Termination.

On April 12, 2023, Respondent pled "no contest" to one misdemeanor count of California Penal Code § 243(e)(1) - Misdemeanor Domestic Battery, for the February 13, 2022, domestic violence incident. As a result of this conviction, Respondent was placed on probation for three years and subject to a Criminal Protective Order in favor of Victim until April 12, 2028. On April 30, 2023, Respondent resigned from LAPD, prior to his BOR hearing.

II. Investigative Methodology

This report summarizes the findings related to allegations of serious misconduct. The investigation was conducted in accordance with the regulations and policies of the Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training (POST). The investigation included a detailed review of BPPD's criminal investigation, LAPD's Complaint Investigations, Los Angeles County Superior Court records and supporting documents, which included interviews of Respondent, Victim and witnesses.

The Division analyzed the information in this investigation using the clear and convincing evidence standard. For purposes of this report, clear and convincing evidence means "evidence of a charge is clear and convincing so long as there is a 'high probability' that the charge is true. The evidence need not establish a fact beyond a reasonable doubt."

This report is necessarily summary in nature and does not include every fact or issue that might be found in the underlying investigation and attachments. However, it does contain reference to that evidence that the Division believes establishes the requisite facts and proves the serious misconduct charged by clear and convincing evidence.

III. Respondent's Background

A. Respondent's Peace Officer Certificates and Employment

The following table sets forth Respondent's employment history:

Dates	***Rank***	***Agency***
February 21, 2017	Trainee	Los Angeles Police Department
August 6, 2017	Police Officer	Los Angeles Police Department

Respondent is assigned POST identification C63-K48. He received the following peace officer certificate from POST:

Certificate Type	***Certificate Number***	***Date Issued***
Basic	195479	June 28, 2019

B. Respondent's Service Record

When evaluating whether suspension or revocation is appropriate, Penal Code § 13510.8(c)(5) and Commission Regulation 1213(a)(5) provide that the Commission must consider Respondent's prior conduct, discipline and service record. The relevance and weight the Commission may choose to assign to a peace officer's prior conduct and service record will depend on the facts in each case.

California Penal Code § 13510.8(c)(5) provides that the Commission "shall consider the peace officer's prior conduct and service record, and any instances of misconduct, including any incidents occurring beyond the time limitation for investigation in evaluating whether to revoke certification for the incident under investigation."

Service Record
Respondent's employment with LAPD began on February 21, 2017, as a full-time Trainee. On August 4, 2017, Respondent completed the POST Basic Course and on August 6, 2017, Respondent was sworn in as a Peace Officer. On June 28, 2019, Respondent received his POST peace officer certification. Respondent resigned from LAPD April 30, 2023. Respondent does not have any prior law enforcement appointments in the State of California.

Relevant Training
Respondent's POST Electronic Data Interchange (EDI) profile indicates that he completed 24 courses prior to the captioned incident, including the following relevant topics:

Date	***Course Title***
August 11, 2020	First Aid/CPR/AED
February 17, 2020	Arrest/Control Techniques
January 22, 2020	De-Escalation & Tactical Communication
July 20, 2018	Mental Health Intervention Training
July 15, 2018	Post Traumatic Stress Disorder & Traumatic Brain Injury
September 13, 2017	Implicit Bias and Community Policing
August 4, 2017	Basic Course Intensive
May 3, 2017	Cultural Diversity - Tools for Tolerance

Prior Complaints/Discipline

Respondent is the subject of the following allegations of serious misconduct with POST:

POST Case ID	***Allegation***	***POST Status***	***Disposition***
POST2302397 **CAPTIONED MATTER**	Acts That Violate the Law	POST (Priority 1)	Complaint of Acts That Violate the Law based on Respondent's conduct on February 13, 2022, when he was involved in a domestic violence incident with the mother of his child and arrested for a violation of California Penal Code § 273.5(a) - Misdemeanor Domestic Violence.

POST2321703	Acts That Violate the Law	POST (Priority 1)	Complaint of Acts That Violate the Law based on Respondent's conduct on December 11, 2022, when he was arrested at bar in Pasadena, California, for a violation of California Penal Code § 647(f) - Public Intoxication.

On February 9, 2023, LAPD reported the February 13, 2022, domestic violence incident to POST's Professional Conduct Bureau (PCB), alleging a violation of Commission Regulation 1205(a)(6) - Acts That Violate the Law.

On November 24, 2023, LAPD reported Respondent's December 11, 2022, public intoxication incident to POST's PCB, alleging a violation of Commission Regulation 1205(a)(6) - Acts That Violate the Law.

Respondent was an LAPD employee for approximately six years at the time of the captioned domestic violence incident. During his tenure with the department, Respondent held assignments at LAPD's Wilshire Custody Service Division and Pacific Division.

In addition to the captioned matter and second allegation of serious misconduct detailed above, Respondent's LAPD records reflected the following personnel actions:

- Four Non-Categorical Use of Force (NCUOF) incidents, all of which were found to be "in policy."

- Two vehicle pursuits – "pending" at the time Respondent resigned.

Respondent has not been involved in any Categorical Uses of Force (CUOF), vehicle collisions or civil litigation.

IV. Factual Background

On February 12, 2022, while off-duty, Respondent and Victim went out for dinner and drinks. After eating dinner at a sushi restaurant, they stopped at a brewery for several beers before proceeding to Flashbackz Lounge in Whittier, California. While inside the lounge, Respondent observed Victim speaking with another male patron, which caused him to become upset. Respondent confronted Victim about speaking with the individual and questioned her about purchasing four shots of alcohol. Respondent's demeanor became increasingly aggressive, and the two returned to their table, where a verbal argument ensued. Although they ultimately agreed to leave the lounge together,

Respondent and Victim consumed the previously purchased shots of alcohol before Respondent drove them home.

After arriving at their home, the argument escalated when Respondent gained access to Victim's phone while she was changing clothes. While going through Victim's phone, Respondent saw a conversation with Victim's old friend that Respondent alleged was an ex-boyfriend. Victim told him there was nothing to hide but Respondent "blew up." Victim reported during her interview with LAPD that Respondent pulled her hair, took her glasses, dragged and threw her into the bathroom, and forced her into a bathtub while showering her with cold water. Respondent refused to let Victim out of the bathtub, pulled her hair, scratched, slapped and punched her in the face and choked her, causing visible injuries.[1] Later, during a telephone conversation with POST, Victim stated that she tried to scratch Respondent to stop his attack, breaking her fingernails in the process. She also stated that she was unsure whether she lost consciousness during the incident.

After Respondent and Victim awoke later that same morning, Victim had a black eye and other visible injuries. Victim asked Respondent to take her to her mother's residence due to the pain she was experiencing. Respondent replied, *"No, I don't want you to leave - like can you please, if I take you, tell them that I didn't do anything to you? Like it was a knee and like, I'm sorry."* [2] Nevertheless, Respondent reluctantly drove Victim to her mother's residence.

Upon arrival, Victim's mother observed Victim's injuries and immediately called Respondent, demanding that he turn himself in. Respondent hung up, at which point Victim's mother notified BPPD. Victim was transported to the KPBP Medical Center by the Los Angeles County Fire Department where she received medical attention for a headache, neck and back pain, bruising and swelling to her face and left eye, bruising to her hands, a split lip, chipped front teeth and broken fingernails. KPBP ordered CT scans of Victim's cervical spine, facial bones and head, along with X-rays of her hands, ribs and chest, scapula and wrist. Ultimately, Victim was diagnosed with a shoulder contusion, multiple soft tissue contusions, a subconjunctival hemorrhage, from a broken blood vessel in her left eye, and a concussion with a loss of consciousness.[3]

On February 13, 2022, after interviewing Victim, BPPD requested an Emergency Protective Order. Respondent also turned himself into BPPD the same day. On February 15, 2022, a court-ordered Criminal Protective Order was authorized and Respondent was charged with a violation of California Penal Code § 273.5(a) –

[1] Attachment A (LAPD Victim Interview Transcript 1 - 3:02-3:40 and 6:41)
[2] Attachment A (LAPD Victim Interview Transcript 1 - 4:07-4:16)
[3] Attachment B (Victim's February 13, 2022, KPBP After Visit Medical Summary)

Misdemeanor Domestic Violence. In addition, photographs documenting Victim's injuries were captured.

During Respondent's LAPD administrative investigation, LAPD detectives interviewed Victim on February 13, 2022, after she was discharged from the hospital. Victim alleged that this was not the first instance of domestic violence involving Respondent. She stated that the violence had never escalated to this extent, apart from hair pulling. Victim stated sometime in January of 2022, Respondent, while off duty, broke her eyeglasses and cellphone, forcibly pulled her hair and threatened to kill her.[4] Victim also alleged that on or about September 2020, while off-duty, Respondent threw a folding table at Victim, nearly striking her and requiring a domestic violence response from BPPD.[5] Based on records documenting BPPD's response to this incident, LAPD determined that this domestic violence incident occurred on October 24, 2020.

LAPD also interviewed Victim's mother on February 13, 2022. Victim's mother stated that Victim called her at approximately 3:00 a.m. on February 13, 2022, while Respondent drove her home from Flashbackz Lounge. Victim was crying and explained that Respondent was mad because she purchased four shots of tequila that Respondent believed were for men standing near Victim at the bar. Victim's mother stated that Victim had a history of calling her when she argued with Respondent. Victim's mother knew Respondent had a temper, but when she previously responded to their home during arguments, Victim would say everything was under control. Victim's mother never expected any physical harm would occur that night.

Victim's mother observed that Victim had been assaulted when Victim was dropped off at her home on the morning of February 13, 2022. Victim's mother informed investigators that she immediately called Respondent and told him to turn himself in or she would call the police. Respondent hung up on Victim's mother, at which point she reported the incident to BPPD.

Respondent Statements

Respondent denied physically assaulting Victim. During his administrative interview, Respondent stated that he unintentionally struck Victim with his elbow when he twisted his torso to stop Victim from taking her phone away from him. This action caused Victim to fall into an entry table in the hall.[6] Respondent was not sure if she hit her head on the table. Respondent also stated Victim jumped on him while he was on a bed, again attempting to grab her phone from him. Respondent stated Victim fell on the carpeted floor. At various points throughout the interview, Respondent stated that he never hit,

[4] Attachment C (LAPD Victim Interview Transcript 2 – 4:30-5:46)
[5] Attachment C (LAPD Victim Interview Transcript 2 – 0:24-1:59)
[6] Attachment D (Respondent's LAPD Administrative Interview Transcript – 27:52)

punched, pulled hair, choked, or slapped Victim and denied ever threatening to kill Victim.

LAPD's Complaint Investigation (CF No. 22-000472)[7] ultimately sustained seven allegations of misconduct against Respondent (detailed below). These allegations included Respondent's operation of a motor vehicle while intoxicated on February 13, 2022, the physical assault of Victim on February 13, 2022, and Respondent's prior physical assaults and threats to kill Victim on October 24, 2020, and January 2022. On February 8, 2023, based upon his disqualifying acts of domestic violence, LAPD's Chief of Police (COP) directed Respondent to a BOR for termination consideration.

LAPD Complaint Investigation Adjudication (CF No. 22-000472)
On January 6, 2023, LAPD Complaint Investigation CF No. 22-000472, into the allegation of domestic violence, was completed and a Letter of Transmittal (LOT) [8] conveying the department's findings and proposed discipline. The LOT sustained the following seven findings:

- **ALLEGATION 1 -** Victim alleged that on February 13, 2022, Respondent, while off-duty, operated his private vehicle while under the influence of alcoholic beverages.
- **ALLEGATION 2 -** Victim alleged that on February 13, 2022, Respondent, while off-duty, physically assaulted Victim, causing injuries that required medical treatment.
- **ALLEGATION 3 -** Victim alleged that sometime in January of 2022, Respondent, while off-duty, threatened to kill her.
- **ALLEGATION 4 -** Victim alleged that sometime in January of 2022, Respondent, while off-duty, unnecessarily broke her eyeglasses and cellphone, and forcibly pulled her hair.
- **ALLEGATION 5 -** Victim alleged that on unknown date and time, Respondent, while off-duty, pulled her hair during an argument.
- **ALLEGATION 6 -** Victim alleged that on October 24, 2020, Respondent, while off -duty, threw a folding table in her direction, nearly striking her, during a domestic dispute.
- **ALLEGATION 7 -** Victim alleged that on October 24, 2020, Respondent, while off-duty, became involved in a domestic violence incident which resulted in the response of on-duty officers from the Baldwin Park Police Department.

[7] Attachment E (LAPD Complaint Investigation CF No. 22-000472 – Narrative with photographs of Victim's injuries)
[8] Attachment F (LAPD Complaint Investigation CF No. 22-000472 - LOT)

Based on these seven sustained allegations, involving Respondent's involvement in multiple incidents of domestic violence and one possible incident involving driving under the influence of alcohol, LAPD assessed that Respondent would be disqualified from performing the duties of a police officer. The Victim and principal witness were considered credible, and the evidence of criminal activity led the EMDA to file criminal charges against Respondent.

LAPD further assessed that if Respondent was to continue serving as a police officer, any case in which he participated would be subject to District Attorney or City Attorney disclosures which could seriously jeopardize subsequent prosecutions. Furthermore, Respondent's actions reflected poorly on LAPD. If LAPD failed to take forceful action in this case, LAPD stated it could appear that domestic violence and drunk driving were not taken seriously, causing the public to lose confidence in the department and leading to repercussions. Given these factors, on February 8, 2023, LAPD directed Respondent to a BOR with a recommendation of termination.

On April 12, 2023, Respondent pled "no contest" to one misdemeanor count of California Penal Code § 243(e)(1) - Domestic Battery, based on the February 13, 2022, domestic violence incident. Under California Penal Code § 29805, a conviction for California Penal Code § 243(e)(1) triggers a ban on owning, purchasing, possessing or receiving a firearm or ammunition for ten years from the date of conviction. Additionally, under federal law, specifically 18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(8), a conviction of a misdemeanor crime of domestic violence prohibits the possession or receipt of any firearm or ammunition indefinitely, so long as the conviction qualifies under the statute.

On April 30, 2023, Respondent resigned from LAPD prior to his BOR termination hearing.

Photographs, medical records, victim and witness statements obtained less than 24 hours after the February 13, 2022, domestic violence incident substantiate Victim's account that she sustained significant injuries during the physical altercation with Respondent. Specifically, Victim's KPBP After Visit Medical Summary corroborated her statements. Additionally, Victim's mother corroborated the number of shots Victim and Respondent purchased, which led to their argument at Flashbackz Lounge, as well as the telephone call she had with Victim at approximately 3:00 a.m. and the subsequent telephone call she had with Respondent on the morning of February 13, 2022, during which she demanded that he turn himself in to police.

Communication with Victim

On January 5, 2026, the Division discussed the allegations of serious misconduct with Victim. Victim explained that Respondent had a volatile personality, which was exacerbated when he consumed alcohol. She stated that in October 2020, when Respondent had been drinking, he grew angry and threw a folding table at her. The

table did not hit her, but she feared for her safety and called BPPD. When BPPD responded, Respondent was asleep in his room with his firearm nearby. Victim left the residence and stayed with her mother for the remainder of the evening. BPPD confirmed Victim's call for service and response but did not file a report documenting the incident.

Victim also clarified prior statements regarding the domestic violence she suffered on February 13, 2022. Specifically, Victim described the nature of her injuries and the manner in which they were sustained. Victim reported that Respondent punched her several times in the face with closed fists while she was pinned against their bathtub, resulting in a concussion, facial bruising, a spilt lip and chipped teeth, in addition to the injuries documented in her KPBP After Visit Summary. Victim further stated that Respondent intentionally showered her with cold water, possibly because he feared that he had rendered her unconscious.

Victim explained that she no longer communicated with Respondent, except as necessary to coordinate co-parenting arrangements for their daughter. On February 18, 2026, Victim consented to the release of her medical records to POST and provided an electronic copy of her February 13, 2022, KPBP After Visit Medical Summary.

Second Allegation of Misconduct (POST2321703)
Prior to Respondent's BOR termination hearing, on December 11, 2022, while off-duty, Respondent and his new girlfriend were involved in an unrelated incident at the Via Sorriso Bar in Pasadena, California. The incident resulted in Respondent's arrest for a violation of California Penal Code § 647(f) - Public Intoxication and subsequent release pursuant to California Penal Code § 849(b)(2). LAPD's subsequent Personnel Complaint Investigation (CF No. 22-003721)[9] sustained allegations that Respondent was intoxicated in public while off-duty and that he failed to cooperate with the Pasadena Police Department (PPD) officers during his detention, noting that Respondent chose to drink past the point where he was still in control.

LAPD Complaint Investigation Adjudication (CF No. 22-003721)
In response to Respondent's December 11, 2023, Public Intoxication arrest, LAPD initiated Complaint Investigation CF No. 22-003721. On April 25, 2023, LAPD issued a Letter of Transmittal[10] (LOT2), conveying the department's findings and proposed discipline. LOT2 sustained the following findings and conveyed LAPD's imposition of a two-day suspension, with loss of pay and benefits, for Respondent's misconduct on December 11, 2022.

[9] Attachment G (LAPD Complaint Investigation CF No. 22-003721 - Narrative)
[10] Attachment H (LAPD Complaint Investigation CF No. 22-003721 - LOT)

- **ALLEGATION 1 -** LAPD alleged that on December 11, 2022, Respondent, while off-duty, was intoxicated in public which caused the response and led to his arrest by the Pasadena Police Department.
- **ALLEGATION 2 -** LAPD alleged that on December 11, 2022, Respondent, while off-duty, failed to cooperate with on-duty Pasadena Police Department personnel.

LAPD determined that Respondent's blood alcohol level, based on a breathalyzer, was twice the legal limit, five hours after he stopped drinking and he was arrested. LAPD also determined that Respondent failed to cooperate with security guards and PPD officers at the establishment. Respondent's actions showed that he chose to drink past the point where he was in control. His belligerent and demanding treatment of fellow officers illustrated a significant lack of control and professionalism when he chose to falsely accuse them of injuring him. Respondent's actions reflected poorly on the department, both in the eyes of the public and the officers from PPD. As a result, Respondent received a penalty of two suspension days. This incident occurred prior to Respondent's BOR hearing for the unresolved domestic violence incident that occurred on February 13, 2022.

Respondent's Rehabilitation
On April 12, 2023, Respondent pled no contest to one count of California Penal Code § 243(e)(1) - Misdemeanor Domestic Battery. Respondent's conviction resulted in a three-year term of summary probation, eight hours of community service, the completion of 52 domestic violence training classes and adherence to a Criminal Protective Order. Los Angeles County court records indicate that as of June 11, 2024, Respondent completed all 52 court-ordered domestic violence training sessions. On October 22, 2025, during an informal telephone conversation with the Division, Respondent reported that he no longer worked in the law enforcement profession and was currently pursuing a career as a truck driver.

V. Analysis and Findings

A. Does the alleged conduct violate Penal Code § 13510.8(b)(6) and Commission Regulation 1205(a)(6)?

Penal Code § 13510.8(b)(6) and Commission Regulation 1205(a)(6), define Acts That Violate the Law as:

> Acts that violate the law and are sufficiently egregious or repeated as to be inconsistent with a peace officer's obligation to uphold the law or respect the rights of members of the public, as determined by the Commission.

The Division concludes that clear and convincing evidence establishes that Respondent engaged in Acts That Violate the Law - domestic violence - on February 13, 2022. Respondent's actions were sufficiently egregious in that he assaulted Victim, who he was in a qualifying relationship with, causing significant physical injuries that required medical treatment, constituting domestic violence. The Victim's injuries include a concussion, a subconjunctival hemorrhage, chipped teeth, a split lip, broken nails and bruising to her face, neck and back based upon the events contained in the below timeline:

- On February 13, 2022, Respondent was involved in the captioned domestic violence incident, causing significant physical injuries to Victim requiring medical attention.

- On February 15, 2022, the EMDA's Office filed one misdemeanor count of California Penal Code § 273.5(a) - Domestic Violence on Respondent. Respondent pleaded "not guilty" and was served with a Criminal Protective Order instructing him to stay 100 yards away from Victim by the Superior Court of Los Angeles.

- On December 11, 2022, while off-duty, Respondent and his new girlfriend were involved in an unrelated incident at the Via Sorriso Bar in Pasadena, California. The incident resulted in Respondent's arrest for a violation of California Penal Code § 647(f) - Public Intoxication; however, he was released pursuant to California Penal Code § 849(b)(2).

- On February 8, 2023, LAPD's LOT for CF No. 22-000472 conveyed the COP's decision to direct Respondent to a BOR for termination consideration based upon his disqualifying acts of domestic violence on February 13, 2022.

- On April 12, 2023, Respondent pled "no contest" to one misdemeanor count of California Penal Code § 243(e)(1) - Misdemeanor Domestic Battery, for the captioned February 13, 2022, domestic violence incident. As a result of this conviction, on April 12, 2023, Respondent was placed on Los Angeles County Probation for a period of three years, and subject to a Criminal Protective Order[11] in favor of Victim. The Criminal Protective Order remains in effect until April 12, 2028.

- On April 25, 2023, LAPD's LOT2 for CF No. 22-003721 sustained two allegations and imposed a two-day suspension, with loss of pay and benefits, for Respondent's misconduct on December 11, 2022.

[11] Attachment I (Los Angeles County Superior Court Protective Order – April 12, 2023 – April 12, 2028)

- On April 30, 2023, Respondent resigned from LAPD, prior to his BOR termination hearing for the February 13, 2022, domestic violence incident.

Additionally, Respondent's domestic violence conviction triggered a ten-year California state prohibition on the possession of firearms and ammunition. Under federal law, specifically 18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(8), Respondent's conviction prohibits the possession or receipt of any firearm or ammunition indefinitely, so long as the conviction qualifies under the statute.

The clear and convincing evidence demonstrates with high probability that the Respondent committed acts that violate the law and were sufficiently egregious or repeated as to be inconsistent with his obligation to uphold the law and respect the rights of members of the public when he assaulted Victim, causing significant physical injuries, including a concussion, subconjunctival hemorrhage, chipped teeth, a split lip, broken nails and bruising to her face, neck, and back constituting serious misconduct in violation of California Penal Code § 13510.8 (b)(6) and Title 11, California Code of Regulations § 1205 (a)(6) – Acts that Violate the Law. Further, Respondent's misconduct on February 13, 2022, was not an isolated occurrence. The record reflects a pattern of poor judgement, emotional volatility, physical violence and irresponsible alcohol consumption dating back to at least October 24, 2020.

Based on the totality of the evidence, the Division finds that reasonable grounds exist to refer this case to the Peace Officer Standards Accountability Advisory Board and the POST Commission for consideration of certificate revocation or suspension pursuant to California Penal Code § 13510.8.

Supporting Evidence

Evidence	**Attachment**
LAPD Victim Interview Transcript 1	A
February 13, 2022, KPBP After Visit Medical Summary (Victim)	B
LAPD Victim Interview Transcript 2	C
Respondent's LAPD Administrative Interview Transcript	D
LAPD Complaint Investigation CF No. 22-000472 – Narrative	E
LAPD Complaint Investigation CF No. 22-000472 – LOT	F
LAPD Complaint Investigation CF No. 22-003721 - Narrative	G
LAPD Complaint Investigation CF No. 22-003721 – LOT	H
LA County Superior Court Records	I